



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY
József Timár,
Semmelweis University, Hungary

*CORRESPONDENCE
György Gyimesi,
✉ drgyimesigy@gmail.com

RECEIVED 09 March 2026
REVISED 12 March 2026
ACCEPTED 18 March 2026
PUBLISHED 27 March 2026

CITATION

Gyimesi G, Keczer B, Rein P, Horváth M, Szűcs Á, Marjai T, Szijártó A and Hritz I (2026) Correction: Diagnostic performance of intracystic carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) versus glucose in differentiation of mucinous and non-mucinous pancreatic cysts. *Pathol. Oncol. Res.* 32:1612408. doi: 10.3389/pore.2026.1612408

COPYRIGHT

© 2026 Gyimesi, Keczer, Rein, Horváth, Szűcs, Marjai, Szijártó and Hritz. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Correction: Diagnostic performance of intracystic carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) versus glucose in differentiation of mucinous and non-mucinous pancreatic cysts

György Gyimesi^{1,2*}, Bánk Keczer³, Péter Rein³, Miklós Horváth⁴, Ákos Szűcs³, Tamás Marjai³, Attila Szijártó³ and István Hritz⁴

¹School of Doctoral Studies, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary, ²Department of Gastroenterology, Spital Thurgau AG, Münsterlingen, Switzerland, ³Department of Surgery, Transplantation and Gastroenterology, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary, ⁴Department of Surgery, Transplantation and Gastroenterology, Division of Interventional Gastroenterology, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary

KEYWORDS

pancreatic cyst, endosonography, FNA, intracystic CEA, intracystic glucose

A Correction on Diagnostic performance of intracystic carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) versus glucose in differentiation of mucinous and non-mucinous pancreatic cysts

by Gyimesi G, Keczer B, Rein P, Horváth M, Szűcs Á, Marjai T, Szijártó A and Hritz I (2024). *Pathol. Oncol. Res.* 30:1611881. doi: 10.3389/pore.2024.1611881

There were mistakes in Table 3 as published. The values in the table are incorrect and do not correspond with the numbers in the abstract and in the text. The corrected Table 3 appears below.

The original article has been updated.

TABLE 3 Diagnostic performance of CEA, Glucose and CEA with Glucose combined.

	Mucinous	Non-mucinous	<i>p</i> -value
CEA (Cutoff 192 ng/mL)			
CEA level, median (95% CI)	449.5 (1,587–58.23)	3 (16.3–3)	<0.0001
Elevated/non-elevated (n)	36/16	0/39	
Glucose (Cutoff 50 mg/dL)			
Glucose level, median (95% CI)	8.1 (11.71–1.8)	100.9 (115.3–55.86)	<0.0001
Elevated/non-elevated (n)	2/50	31/8	
CEA and glucose			
Either CEA elevated or glucose non-elevated	52/0	8/31	
Amylase (Cutoff 250 IU/L)			
Amylase level, median (95%CI)	2,445 (1,011–8,825)	10,360 (173–52,800)	
Elevated/non-elevated	40/12	23/16	
	CEA	Glucose	CEA and glucose
Sensitivity	69.2%	96.2%	100%
Specificity	100%	79.5%	79.5%
PPV	100%	86.2%	86.7%
NPV	70.9%	93.9%	100%